

**SANTA CRUZ COUNTY
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INDEX SHEET**

Creation Date: 4/1/03
Source Code: FCSDX
Agenda Date: 4/8/03
INVENUM: 52560

Resolution(s):

Ordinance(s):

Contract(s):

Continue Date(s):

Index: --Letter of Freedom County Sanitation District dated March 27, 2003
--Annual Pretreatment Program Report

item: 53. AS THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE FREEDOM COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT, APPROVED the annual industrial wastewater pretreatment report and approved related action, as recommended **by** the District Engineer



County of Santa Cruz


FREEDOM COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT

701 OCEAN STREET, ROOM 410, SANTA CRUZ, CA 95060-4070
(831) 454-2160 FAX (831) 454-2385 TDD (831) 454-2123

THOMAS L. BOLICH
DISTRICT ENGINEER

APPROVED AND FILED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

DATE: 4/8/03
COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ
SUSAN A. MAURIELLO
EX-OFFICIO CLERK OF THE BOARD

BY  DEPUTY

AGENDA: APRIL 8, 2003

March 27, 2003

BOARD OF DIRECTORS
FREEDOM COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT
701 Ocean Street
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

SUBJECT: FREEDOM COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT ANNUAL
INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER PRETREATMENT REPORT

Members of the Board:

Attached for your consideration is the District's Annual Industrial Wastewater Pretreatment Report. This report, which covers the period of January 1 through December 31, 2002, is required by the California Environmental Protection Agency's (CAWEPA) State Regional Water Quality Control Board and is, also, reviewed by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA).

The U.S. EPA has delegated all Pretreatment Program administrative functions to CAWEPA. The purpose of the report is to document the actions of the Freedom County Sanitation District relative to wastewater pretreatment for the year 2002. The report also provides proof of compliance with the City of Watsonville's National Pollution Discharge Elimination System permit and the pretreatment requirements and procedures as approved in the District's Pretreatment, Source Control, and Waste Minimization Programs.

The information attached in this report is presented in the format required by the State Regional Water Quality Control Board. The report and data were compiled by the District's Environmental Programs Coordinator. Those industrial users not in compliance are listed in the report, which also outlines the District's enforcement actions taken in order to effect their required compliance.

In general, the report lists the industrial users and the monitoring conducted by the District. Of the 38 locations subject to pretreatment regulations, none are considered a significant industrial user that must be permitted.

It is therefore recommended that the Board of Directors take the following action:

1. Approve the attached Freedom County Sanitation District Industrial Wastewater Pretreatment Report, as submitted.
2. Direct the District to submit a copy of the approved report to the City of Watsonville and the State Regional Water Quality Control Board.

Yours truly,




THOMAS L. BOLICH
District Engineer

TLB:RCB:bbs

Attachments

RECOMMENDED FOR APPROVAL:



County Administrative Officer

Copy to: Public Works Department
David Koch, Director, City of Watsonville
Public Works Department

FREEDOM COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT
ANNUAL PRETREATMENT PROGRAM REPORT

NPDES Permit Holder or Sewage Authority Name: Freedom Sanitation District

Report Date: January 15, 2003

Period Covered by This Report: January 1, 2002 to December 31, 2002

Period Covered by Previous Report: January 1, 2001 to December 31, 2001

Name of Wastewater Treatment Plant(s): City of Watsonville Regional Wastewater Treatment Plant


NPDES Permit Number: CA0048216

Person to contact concerning information contained in this report:

Name	Russ Bateson
Title	Water and Wastewater Operations Manager
Mailing Address	2750 Lode Street Santa Cruz, CA 95062
Telephone No.	(831) 464-5475

Based upon my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information reported herein, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate, and complete.

3/26/03
Date



Signature of Official
THOMAS L. BOLICH
District Engineer

FREEDOM COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT

PRETREATMENT PROGRAM SUMMARY

During the 2002 reporting period, information on industrial users within the Freedom Sanitation District were reviewed and verified. Source control activities relating to the Freedom County Sanitation District consisted mainly of updating existing information on industrial dischargers and identification of potentially new industrial dischargers. The Industrial User Database was updated based on the information obtained. There were no inspections performed on any businesses in the Freedom Sanitation District due to the annexation of a significant portion of the District by the City of Watsonville. Much of the time was spent on mapping the areas annexed, identifying the remaining areas, and on the logistics of the annexation. Since the annexation has now been completed, an inspection program of existing dischargers has been outlined by the District's Environmental Programs Coordinator. The District plans to conduct inspections of all remaining industrial users during the first and second quarters of 2003.

During this past reporting period, the District has hired an Environmental Programs Coordinator, and a Student Intern. An approved Pretreatment Program Specialist position remains open. It is anticipated that this position will be filled during the next year.

District personnel have educated themselves on process-specific pollution prevention and waste minimization opportunities for each particular industry and developed Best Management Practices (BMP) pamphlets for the various businesses within the Freedom District. This has been done for vehicle service facilities, photoprocessors, restaurants, and medical facilities. Staff expects to begin public outreach to these industries using the BMP pamphlets during the 2003 reporting period.

A Task Force has been assembled consisting of multimedia regulators (stormwater, air, hazardous materials, as well as wastewater) and several non-profit organizations regarding the launch of a Monterey Bay Area Green Business Program to promote pollution prevention, waste minimization, and best management practices above and beyond regulatory standards. The group currently meets every six weeks to develop the program and to discuss funding issues. The plan is to begin with Vehicle Service Facilities, measure successes, and then move on to the other industries with the knowledge gained.

Historically, 8 to 15 percent of the County's Pretreatment Program staff time has been spent in the Freedom County Sanitation District. Since most of the industrial portion of the Freedom District has now been annexed by the City of Watsonville, there are very few remaining industries and no significant industrial users at this time in the district.

FREEDOM COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT

2002 FOURTH QUARTERLY REPORT SUMMARY

Source control activities relating to the Freedom County Sanitation District consisted mainly of updating existing information on industrial dischargers and identification of potentially new industrial dischargers. There were no inspections performed on any businesses in the Freedom Sanitation District due to the annexation of a significant portion of the District by the City of Watsonville. Much of the time was spent on mapping the areas annexed, identifying the remaining areas, and on the logistics of the annexation. Since the annexation has been completed, an inspection program of existing dischargers has been outlined by the District's Environmental Programs Coordinator.

The primary methods used to identify new dischargers was performed by phone book listings, field verification, and fictitious business listings. Inspections of existing light industries is expected to commence in the first and second quarters of 2003.

Attached to this annual report is a list of those commercial and industrial dischargers identified by the District as of the date of this report. There are currently no significant industrial users (SIUs) within the District.

(1) Results of Sampling Analysis

All analyses are performed by the City of Watsonville except the self-monitoring report information that is supplied by the industrial user.

(2) Summary of Major Violations of Local, State, and Federal Pretreatment Violations

There were no upsets, instances of interference, or pass-through incidents at the Watsonville Treatment Facility that were caused by the District's industrial discharges.

(3) Information Concerning Baseline Monitoring Reports (BMRs)

When either the Environmental Compliance Inspectors, or the District's Environmental Programs Coordinator, have identified a potential industrial waste discharger an industrial wastewater application is mailed to that potential discharger. After the completed application has been returned and subsequently reviewed by the Environmental Programs Coordinator, the decision is then made whether, or not, to require baseline testing and/or wastewater permitting. Existing permitted industries are semi-annually reviewed for baseline monitoring requirements. The need for the submittal of a new baseline monitoring report is determined for all industrial dischargers at that time. However, every three years a new baseline monitoring report is sent to the industry for review and information update.

(4) List of Industries, Standards that Apply, Noncategorical Industrial Users, and Compliance Status

The following industrial users listed in Table 2.1 are significant industries requiring District permits and local limits regulation. They have been identified, as either an industry group, or as one discharger, and as having a potential impact on the wastewater treatment system. The District used its method of classifying industrial dischargers in accordance with the guidelines set forth in the Pretreatment Program Description. Table 2.2 lists those industries that have been eliminated from further survey efforts, and Table 2.3 lists the Freedom County Sanitation District Local Wastewater Discharge Limitations.

**TABLE 2.1
INDUSTRIAL USER REPORT**

Industrial User Name & Address	Applicable Federal Categorical Standards	Subject to Local Stnds/Noncateg.	Local Discharge Standards More Stringent than Fed.	Notified BMR	BMR Rec'd	Compliance Status (see key below)		Quarter	Remarks
						Federal	Local		
NONE	None	None	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		1	
								2	
								3	
								4	
							1		
							2		
							3		
							4		
							1		
							2		
							3		
							4		
							1		
							2		
							3		
							4		
							TOTAL		
							0		

Compliance Status Key
A) Consistently achieving compliance
B) Inconsistently achieving compliance
C) Significantly violating compliance
D) Compliance status unknown
*) On a compliance schedule to achieve compliance
Remarks:

Compliance Determination Key
1) POTW compliance sampling only
2) IU Self-Monitoring Only
3) Both 1 & 2
4) Other (see Footnote)
N/A: Not applicable

TABLE 2.2

INDUSTRIES ELIMINATED FROM FURTHER SURVEY EFFORTS

<u>Company Name</u>	<u>Company Address</u>	<u>Company Contact</u>	<u>Telephone</u>
---------------------	------------------------	------------------------	------------------

NONE

TABLE 2.3

FREEDOM COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT
WASTEWATER DISCHARGE LIMITATIONS

<u>POLLUTANT</u>	
<u>CONCENTRATION (mg/l)*</u>	
ARSENIC	0.1
CADMIUM	0.1
CHROMIUM, HEXAVALENT	0.5
COPPER	0.5
CYANIDE	0.1
LEAD	2.00
MERCURY	0.02
NICKEL	1.00
PHENOLICS, non-chlorinated	5.00
PHENOLICS, chlorinated	0.2
SILVER	0.10
SUSPENDED SOLIDS	450
ZINC	3.0
pH, Units	6.0 to 9.0
TEMPERATURE	Not to Exceed 150° F

* UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED

(5) Summary of POTW User Inspections

There are currently no significant industrial users within the District (SIUs). There are eleven light industries remaining. There were no inspections performed on any businesses in the Freedom Sanitation District due to the annexation of a significant portion of the District by the City of Watsonville. Much of the time was spent on mapping the areas annexed, identifying the remaining areas, and on the logistics of the annexation. Since the annexation has been completed, an inspection program of existing dischargers has been outlined by the District's Environmental Programs Coordinator. The District plans to conduct inspections of all industrial users during the first and second quarters of 2003. Tables 3.1 and 3.2 on the following pages present a summary of all inspections and compliance sampling performed by the District during the reporting period. Also attached to this report is a definition list for Table 3.1.

(6) Summary of Compliance and Enforcement Activities

Table 3.1, also, reflects the District's compliance and enforcement activities.

TABLE 3.1
Comparison of Planned and Actual Inspections

Major Industries	Planned Inspections for 2002	Actual Inspections for 2002	Planned Inspections for 2003
Hospital	1	0	1
Food Manufacturing	8	0	8
Photo Developing with Pretreatment	<i>0</i>	0	<i>0</i>
Light Industries			
Medical	23	0	23
Dental	3	0	3
Nurseries	2	0	2
Other Miscellaneous (including plan reviews, new installs)	3	0	3
Total	40	0	40

NOTE: Inspections consisted of either full facility inspection, partial facility inspection (in order to alleviate a specific problem on a certain date), plan reviews and new installs, and re-inspections. Only full facility inspections are recorded in the District's Pretreatment Program database.

Table 3.2
Monitoring and Compliance / Enforcement Status Report

Industrial Users Name & Address	QTR	Total Planned Inspect/ Sample	Compliance Inspect/ Sample	Spill Emerg Inspect/ Sample	Conclusions/ Results	Compliance/Enforcement									
						QTR	VN	ADM O	CA	CRA	FINE				
None	1														
	2														
	3														
	4														
	TOTAL														
	1														
	2														
	3														
	4														
	TOTAL														
	1														
	2														
	3														
	4														
	TOTAL														

Notes & Remarks
TABLE 3.2
(L) Regarding Violation of Local Stnd.
(F) Regarding Violation of Federal Stnd.
(B) Regarding Violation of Both

(7) PRETREATMENT PROGRAM CHANGES

During this past reporting period, the District has hired an Environmental Programs Coordinator, a Pretreatment Program Specialist, and a Student Intern. An approved Pretreatment Program Specialist position remains open. It is anticipated that this position will be filled during the next year.

(8) SUMMARY OF PRETREATMENT PROGRAM BUDGET

Table 4 presents a summary of expenditures and inventory. The Pretreatment Program budget was set at \$10,000.00. Revenue for the program is obtained from commercial and industrial user fees through the Sanitation District's Operating Fund.

The 2001/2002 fee schedule is:

<u>User Group</u>	<u>Flat Rate</u> <u>(\$/year)</u>	<u>Volume Rate</u> <u>(\$/HCF)</u>
Bakeries/Donut Shops	\$401.93	\$2.81
Other Businesses	\$335.61	\$2.25
Schools (Private and State-owned)		\$10.39 (ADA)

TABLE 4 SUMMARY OF PRETREATMENT BUDGET

	<u>This Reporting Year</u>		<u>Previous Reporting Year</u>	
	<u>Person-hours</u>	<u>Cost</u>	<u>Person-hours</u>	<u>Cost</u>
TOTAL	200	\$ 7,500.00	200	\$7,500.00

TABLE 4A. SUMMARY OF PRETREATMENT EQUIPMENT PURCHASES

<u>This Reporting Year</u>		<u>Equipment</u>
Various Subscription Services		<u>500.00</u>
TOTAL		\$500.00

<u>All Prior Years</u>	
<u>Equipment</u>	<u>Equipment</u>
A. 4-door sedan with mobile radio	N. 1 ton Ford panel van with mobile radio
B. Portable sampler	O. 2 - 2 drawer files
C. Sample bottles	P. Refrigerator
D. pH pen	Q. pH Sampler
E. Harness and safety tripod & line	R. IBM Compatible Computer
F. Safety vests	S. (2) Two-way mobile radio
G. Hard hats	T. Lab Equipment
H. Safety goggles	U. Computer Software
I. Steel toed shoes	V. IBM-compatible Computer
J. Traffic cones	W. Portable Gas Analyzer
K. First Aid Kit	X. Educational Videos
L. Wastewater Gas Analyzer	Y. Portable Sampler
M. 2 Samplers and Related Equipment	

(9) SUMMARY OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATION ACTIVITIES

The District plans to audit all of the industrial users in the Freedom District next year. Best Management Practices pamphlets have been developed for photo processors, medical facilities and food related industries this past year to demonstrate ways to reduce waste that would otherwise be discharged to the District's sewer system.

A Task Force has been assembled consisting of multimedia regulators (storm water, air, hazardous materials, as well as wastewater) and several non-profit organizations regarding the launch of a Monterey Bay Area Green Business Program to promote pollution prevention, waste minimization, and best management practices above and beyond regulatory standards. Once implemented, a Green Business Program would provide incentives such as free advertising and public promotion to businesses in compliance as well as meeting a defined set of pollution prevention criteria. The group currently meets every six weeks to develop the program and to discuss funding issues. The plan is to begin with Vehicle Service Facilities, measure successes, and then move on to the other industries with the knowledge gained. Restaurants, photoprocessors, dry cleaners, printers, and machine shops would be next, staggering the implementation of a program for each industry.

(10) SUMMARY OF SLUDGE DISPOSAL METHODS - N/A

(11) CONCLUSION

Although there were no audits conducted in the Freedom County Sanitation District, Pretreatment staff will continue to strive toward its goal of zero industrial user violations for each reporting period.

The District has taken a new approach to the particular types of light industries present in Freedom. District personnel have educated themselves on process-specific pollution prevention and waste minimization opportunities for each particular industry and will communicate these opportunities to business owners through pamphlets and demonstration. Staff expects to begin public outreach to photoprocessors, medical facilities, and food establishments during the 2003 reporting period.

The District's Source Control Program has been successful in eliminating fats, oils, and grease (FOG) from the restaurants' sewage discharges and, ultimately, the Monterey Bay Marine Sanctuary. The District has built on these efforts through the BMP pamphlet developed for restaurants. A residential FOG outreach program has been initiated, consisting of advertising in a local newspaper and mailers. The focus of the program educates homeowners and small businesses on the proper disposal of FOG and about the repercussions of discharging grease and other harmful wastes into the sewer.

The District plans to begin conducting joint inspections with the local Certified Unified Program Agency (CUPA), so that hazardous materials-related issues can be addressed

simultaneously by both the Pretreatment Program and the CUPA. This also relieves any anxiety suffered by business owners having more than one inspection with two different lists of corrective actions.

During the 2002 reporting period, information on industrial users within the Freedom Sanitation District were reviewed and verified. The Industrial User Database was updated based on the information obtained. The District plans to conduct inspections of all industrial users during the first and second quarters of 2003. During this past reporting period, the District has hired an Environmental Programs Coordinator, a Pretreatment Program Specialist, and a Student Worker. An approved Pretreatment Program Specialist position remains open. It is anticipated that this position will be filled during the next year.

Historically, 8 to 15 percent of the County's Pretreatment Program staff time has been spent in the Freedom District. Please note that most of the industry portion of the Freedom District was annexed by the City of Watsonville. There are very few industries and no significant industrial users at this time.

Finally, the District's Environmental Programs Coordinator continues to remain within the mainstream of information and to keep apprised of the developments of the pretreatment industry that is required to administer the State and Federally mandated programs. Several training sessions, workshops, and conferences were attended by all pretreatment personnel. These included a Measurements Workshop provided by the EPA and RWQCB, a California Water Environment Association (CWEA) Conference, and the Western Regional Pollution Prevention Network (WRPPN) Pollution Prevention Conference. Discussion topics included waste reduction, pollution prevention, recycling, coordinated inspections of industries with several agencies, pretreatment technologies and regulations, measuring the success of outreach programs, and pollution prevention measures that would affect other regulatory agencies. Several journals, newsletters, and online subscriptions are also reviewed on a routine basis.

DEFINITION LIST FOR TABLE 3

Inspections and Sampling:

Scheduled: Scheduled inspections and monitoring are planned in advance with prior notice to the industry. The purpose of scheduled inspections and monitoring are: to collect information and obtain samples to evaluate industry compliance with wastewater discharge requirements; to identify changes in industrial processes that may affect the quality of the discharges and permit limitations; to maintain a cooperative as well as regulatory presence with the industrial community; to insure that self-monitoring sample collection and preservation techniques are correct; and to verify self-monitoring report data.

Unscheduled: Unscheduled monitoring and inspections represent a random spot check to evaluate industry compliance. No advance notice is given. Unscheduled inspections are performed the same day as a discrete composite sample is collected and any operational changes or unusual incidents may be noted, if appropriate, in the field sampling log book. Unscheduled sampling may occur whenever an industrial user is suspected of having difficulties maintaining consistent compliance.

Demand: Demand monitoring and inspections are usually performed in response to a complaint or emergency situation. Most often these complaints are from POTW Laboratory or Operations personnel who notice a change in the influent wastewater characteristics. Monitoring and inspections of this type are used to determine the nature, duration and hazard of the discharge, obtain samples to verify the source and constituents of the discharge, ascertain the necessary corrective actions needed to control or halt the discharge, initiate corrective actions, if needed, and document information for follow-up compliance or enforcement activities.

Industrial User Self-Monitoring: Industrial users are required, as part of their wastewater discharge permit, to perform self-monitoring of the wastewater discharged to the POTW. Frequency of self-monitoring is determined by considering the industry's past performance and compliance, the flow and constituents of the IU's discharge, the extent and number of problems in the POTW as a result of industrial discharges, new or additional pretreatment standards and requirements, and the seasonal production schedules at an industry.

Compliance: A minor violation is a violation by an industrial discharger which exceeds the specific limitations for wastewater discharges set forth in the sewer use code or the applicable categorical pretreatment standard, but does not cause or create hazardous conditions for line maintenance or POTW personnel; does not cause an upset of the treatment processes, an interference with treatment processes, or a pass-through of pollutants to the receiving waters; does not damage the sewer collection or treatment system; and does not cause the POTW to

violate its NPDES permit.

Enforcement: Enforcement procedures include a written/verbal warning, written letter of violation, administrative order from the District Engineer, infraction citation with fine, civil action and criminal action. Chain of authority regarding enforcement procedures: the industrial waste inspector samples industrial dischargers and investigates citizen complaints; then reports the results of analyses or investigative findings to the Environmental Programs Coordinator. The Environmental Programs Coordinator, then, selects the appropriate enforcement in accordance with the District's Enforcement Response Plan. Actions brought before the District's Board are initiated by the Environmental Programs Coordinator. The Board and the District Engineer, as the Administrative Authority, make final decisions regarding fines and/or sewer service termination.

**Freedom County Sanitation District
Industrial Users Fourth Quarter 2002**

3-3-2003

COMPANY	ADDRESS	APN	LABEL1	LABEL2
BRUNO, BRUCE DDS	110 THICKET LN	048-061-34	Dental	X-RAY
CAROL A. WOO	2006 Freedom Blvd.	048-041-45	Chiropractic	X-RAY
FOGEL, JOSEPH P., MD	122 2 THICKET LN	048-061-44	X-RAY	
FREEDOM LOCKERS	235 A GREEN VALLEY	048-061-35	MEAT PROCESS	
RADIOLOGY MEDICAL GROUP	231 F GREEN VALLEY RD	048-061-37	Gen Medical	X-RAY
BROTHERS CORNER MARKET	202 Buena Vista		Food Services	
DALES LIQUOR AND GROCERY	2139 FREEDOM		FOOD SERVICES	
QUIK STOP	2140 FREEDOM			
MARK GROSSMAN DDS	228 GREEN VALLEY RD.		DENTAL	
PLANT SCIENCES INC.	342 GREEN VALLEY			
AMESTI ELEMENTARY	25 AMESTI RD.			
HARVEST MOON MARKET	518 GREEN VALLEY RD.			
CHURCH OF NAZARENE	710 GREEN VALLEY RD.			
CENTRAL COAST ENDOSCOPY	243 F GREEN VALLEY RD		MEDICAL	
ARTHUR DOVER, MD.	243 B GREEN VALLEY RD.		MEDICAL	
ANN CARROLL, MD.	243 A GREEN VALLEY RD		MEDICAL	
MIGUEL VASQUEZ, MD	243 A GREEN VALLEY RD.		MEDICAL	
VALLE VERDE PHARMACY	240 GREEN VALLEY RD.			
NANCY JACOBSEN MD.	222 GREEN VALLEY RD.		MEDICAL	
JEFFERY G. MACE, MD.	242 GREEN VALLEY RD		MEDICAL	
WATSONVILLE HOSPITAL OUTPATIENT LAB	298 GREEN VALLEY RD.		MEDICAL	
JEFF ROISMAN MD.	222 GREEN VALLEY ED.		MEDICAL	
JAMES B SMITH DDS	228 GREEN VALLEY RD.		DENTAL	
LAWRENCE A. BIRNDORF MD.	274 GREEN VALLEY RD.		MEDICAL	
JAMES CLARK DPM	266 GREEN VALLEY RD.			
ELLIS EYE & LASER MEDICAL CENTER	274 GREEN VALLEY RD.			

**Freedom County Sanitation District
Industrial Users Fourth Quarter 2002**

GALLAGHER, JOSEPG MD.	268	GREEN VALLEY RD.	MEDICAL
GREEN VALLEY OPTICAL	270	GREEN VALLEY RD.	
GRIFFITH D, LEE MD.	280	GREEN VALLEY RD.	MEDICAL
HEARING SERVICES OF SANTA CRUZ	250	GREEN VALLEY RD.	
LIDDICOAT, D.A. MD. INC.	274	GREEN VALLEY RD.	MEDICAL
LOOMIS B. RICHARD JR. MD.	252	GREEN VALLEY RD.	MEDICAL
LOPIANO, DONNA MD.	252	GREEN VALLEY RD.	MEDICAL
MAGANA, P HECTOR MD.	280	GREEN VALLEY RD.	MEDICAL
SIMKIN, JOSEFA MD.	266	GREEN VALLEY RD.	MEDICAL
MONTEREY BAY ORTHOPEDIC	276	GREEN VALLEY RD.	
MONUMENT LUMBER	2111	FREEDOM BLVD.	
PAJARO VALLEY SCHOOL DISTRICT	294	GREEN VALLEY RD.	FOOD SERVICES

