

**SANTA CRUZ COUNTY  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INDEX SHEET**

---

**Creation Date:** 8/20/07

**Source Code:** COUNC

**Agenda Date:** 8/28/07

**INVENUM:** 59690

**Resolution(s):**

---

**Ordinance(s):**

---

**Contract(s):**

---

**Continue Date(s):**

---

**Index:** --Claim of Clive Frank Boustred, Claim No. 708-005

**Item: 10.** REJECTED the claim of Clive Frank Boustred, Claim No. 708-005, as insufficiently filed, and referred to County Counsel



# COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ

0017

## OFFICE OF THE COUNTY COUNSEL

701 OCEAN STREET, SUITE 505, SANTA CRUZ, CA 95060-4068  
(831) 454-2040 FAX: (831) 454-2115

DANA McRAE, COUNTY COUNSEL

*Chief Assistant*

Rahn Garcia

Marie Costa  
Jane M. Scott  
Tamyra Rice  
Julia Hill

*Assistants*

Shannon M. Sullivan  
Miriam L. Stomblor  
Jason M. Heath  
Christopher R. Cheleden  
Betsy L. Allen  
David Brick  
Jessica C. Espinoza  
Samuel Torres, Jr.

*Special Counsel*

Dwight Herr  
Deborah Steen

## GOVERNMENT TORT CLAIM

### RECOMMENDED ACTION

Agenda: 08/28/07

To: Santa Cruz County Board of Supervisors

Re: Clive Frank Boustred, Claim No. 708-005

Original document and associated materials are on file at the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

In regard to the above-referenced claim, this is to recommend that the Board take the following action:

1.  Reject the claim \_\_\_\_\_ and refer to County Counsel.
2.  Deny the application to file a late claim on behalf of \_\_\_\_\_ and refer to County Counsel
3.  Grant the application to file a late claim on behalf of \_\_\_\_\_ and refer to County Counsel.
4.  Approve the claim of \_\_\_\_\_ in the amount of \_\_\_\_\_ and reject the balance, if any, and refer to County Counsel
5.  Reject the claim of Clive Frank Boustred, Claim No. 708-005 as insufficiently filed and refer to County Counsel.

### RISK MANAGEMENT

By Janet McKinley  
Janet McKinley, Risk Manager

### DANA McRAE, COUNTY COUNSEL

By Julia Hill  
Julia Hill, Assistant County Counsel

cc: Steve Robbins, Sheriff Department

BOUSTRED

INVOICE AND CLAIM AGAINST THE COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ, THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA AND THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
(Pursuant to Section 910 et Seq., Govt. Code)

TO: THE **PRINCIPAL**, THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ  
ATTN: Clerk of the Board  
Government Center  
701 Ocean Street, Santa Cruz, CA 95060

JUL 2007  
RECEIVED  
Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
County of Santa Cruz

1. Claimant's Name: Clive Frank Boustred, InfoTelesys, Inc. and Get IT Real, Inc of Nevada; and InfoTelesys, Inc., Live, Inc. and Christ's Gold Corp of Nevis.

Principals Address: 210 Suncrest Dr.  
Soquel, CA 95073

Phone No: (831)476-4300

P.O. Box to which notices are to be sent: above address

2. Occurrence: On matters pertaining to the ongoing malicious prosecution of Clive, Richard and William Boustred, InfoTelesys, Inc. and Get IT Real, Inc. following a Santa Cruz Sheriff Deputy attempting to murder Clive by literally trying to blow his head off in front of his kids Richard and William on March 10, 2003.

On July 16, 2007 with good **cause** Clive Boustred brought to the Santa Cruz Superior Court the request to obtain copies of evidence **used** in a **trial** against Clive, in particular copies of photographs of Deputy Michael Macdonald which were taken after Macdonald shot at Clive and his children. Macdonald made a number of lies in the maliciously prosecuted case filed against Clive as a consequence of the attempted murder of Clive by the Sheriffs, including the claim that his pants were tom. The photographic evidence proves that Macdonald's pants were not tom. Clive sought copies of these photographs for purposes of an appeal and to file criminal charges against Macdonald which include attempted murder for which there is no statue of limitation. Clive also brought new evidence he reticently discovered showing that the police lied on the forms they filed in that **case** claiming that the reason they chased Clive was because Clive committed a Felony Custody Depravation which is a lie – see Attached Exhibit.

The motions were first brought by the Santa Cruz Superior Court before the Honorable Judge Salzar in department 3 who passed the **case** on to Judge Joeff Almquist in department 6. At the beginning of the hearing Mr. Almquist stated that he had recused himself fiom these matters, however, Mr. Almquist then went **on** to assume **subject** matter jurisdiction of the matters brought before the court and dismissed the motions. Mr. Almquist acted in excess of jurisdiction which is treason. Irrefutable evidence of clear and obvious crimes committed by County employees were placed before Mr. Almquist however **he** chose to ignore his suasponte duties and thereby caused further damage in the delay of due process and against Clive Boustred, his children and his interests including InfoTelesys, Inc. and Get IT Real, Inc. of Nevada, Live, Inc. of Nevis and Christ's Gold Corp of Nevis

Initials: CB

2/7-18-07

Date: July 16, 2007 & Following; Claimants winning the last lawsuits filed in Federal Court against the County & State Place: Santa Cruz Superior Court

Circumstances of occurrence or transaction giving rise to claim: On March 10, 2003, Santa Cruz Sheriffs attempted to murder Claimant Clive Boustred; On July 16, 2007 Judge Jeff Almquist without subject matter jurisdiction dismissed proper motions and evidence of crimes County employees committed and proof of Clive's innocence against false charges brought against Clive; And following the County & States failure to pay suits Claimants won as a matter of default. Santa Cruz authorities continue to **embark** on the ongoing malicious prosecution of Claimant Clive Boustred including the kidnap and hostage taking of Clive Boustred's children Richard Clive Boustred and William Frank Boustred whom the County and State authorities continue to hold hostage.

Clive, his companies and **sons** Richard and William **sued** the County and State in Federal Court (U.S. DC #C07 00391) and **as** a matter of default won the case **as** not one of the defendants, including Jeff Almquist, **made** any appearance or declaration in more than a year and a half of hearings in that court. The Santa Cruz Court continues to allocate biased judges who not only refuse to follow the law, but **who** have **as a** matter of failure to appear have admitted to guilt of outrageous crimes committed against Claimants.

3. General description of indebtedness, obligation, injury, damage or loss incurred so far as is now known:

As a direct consequence of the Santa Cruz's continued refusal to follow due process and to continue to refuse to provide fair and unbiased adjudicators, Claimants continue to be maliciously prosecuted and prevented from resuming business and normal life with the consequential enormous business and personal **losses**, extraordinary emotional stress, crippling financial burdens, and incapacitating pressure. **As** a direct consequence of the County and States continued refusal to pay Claimant's successfully won Federal Law Suits and **to** return Richard and William to their father, Claimant's domestic tranquility continues to be eliminated, his common defense prejudiced, his general welfare broken down, and his blessings of liberty eliminated, while his posterity continues **to** be wiped **out**. As **a** direct consequence businesses Claimant built: InfoTelesys, Inc. Nevada, InfoTelesys, Inc. Nevis, and Get IT **Real**, Inc. Nevada, InfoTelesys, Inc., Live, Inc. and Christ's Gold **Corp** of Nevis, continue to be unable to operate also as a consequence of Santa Cruz County, **the** State of California and the United States refusal to follow the law and pay **on** the defaults **of** Claimants previous claims.

4. Name(s) of public employee(s) causing injury, damage or loss incurred so far **as** is now known: Jeff Almquist and John Does 1-n.

5. Amount claimed now .....	
Ongoing infliction of extreme emotional stress.....	\$3,000,000
Ongoing Business loss.....	\$1,000,000,000
Estimated ongoing amount of future loss following last claim & failure to pay .....	\$10,000,000,000

1<sup>st</sup> Federal Suit C07 00391 – won by default  
 CLAIM I- Clive Frank Boustred..... \$3,300,414,000.00

Initials:



CLIVE BOUSTRED

210 SUNCREST DR • SOQUEL CA • 95073

PHONE: (831) 476-4300 • EMAIL: CLIVE@INFOTELESYS.COM

Santa Cruz Superior Court  
710 Ocean Street  
Santa Cruz , CA 95060

July 17, 2007

JUL 2007  
RECEIVED  
Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
County of Santa Cruz

RE: NOTICE - Santa Cruz Superior Court Case # FL 16028  
Violation of Constitutional Rights and Extreme Civil Liberty Abuse

To the Presiding Judge and the Santa Cruz Superior Court,

**Ever since Santa Cruz Deputy Macdonald attempted to murder me in front of my children on March 10, 2003, the Santa Cruz Superior Court consistently and outrageously violated my children's, my companies and my most basic Constitutional rights. I successfully sued in Federal Court – U.S. DC #C07 00391 and #C0500996. As not one of the nine judges, seven cops, four DA's and many County and State employees mostly from Santa Cruz, nor my ex wife and her lover or her lawyer made any appearances in more than one and a half years of hearings, I won the cases as a simple clerical matter of default.**

Despite Santa Cruz County now owing my companies more in damages than the assets this County owns, the Santa Cruz Superior Court and judges continue in blatant insolence to violate the law and the most rudimentary elements of due process. On Monday 16<sup>th</sup> July, when I brought motions before the court with good cause my case was brought before a Judge I had successfully sued, Mr. Jeff Almquist. Despite Mr. Almquist admitting that he had recused himself from the case he went on to assume subject matter jurisdiction and made a ruling. **We are now initiating yet another claim against the County and State and this judge, attached.**

I demand the motions filed for hearing on July 16, 2007 in Santa Cruz Superior Court Case be expediently brought before a constitutionally qualified judge which dictates no reason for bias.

I also have a hearing scheduled for July 23, 2003, in FL 16028, to finalize my divorce which this Court and my ex and her attorney have now dragged on for more than five years. This letter places the Court on NOTICE that I fully expect only an impartial adjudicator to preside over the case and hearing on July 23, 2003 and that said adjudicator fully obey the U.S. Constitution and all due process rights.

As this Court has literally kidnapped my children, wiped out my companies and carrier, these issues are and will be the only issues I work on until they are fully resolved and all damages are recovered. All these issues are about to receive extensive publicity.

**Time is of the essence, my children and I have been and are still being subject to extraordinary abuse, the mental and physical pressure on myself is extreme.**

Thank you for your assistance.

Yours sincerely,

Clive Boustred

Cc: California & U.S. Attorney Generals

1 **Clive Boustred**  
2 **In Propria Persona, Sui Juris**  
3 **210 Suncrest Dr.**  
4 **Soquel, CA 95073**  
5 **(831) 476-4300**

▲  
JUL 2007  
RECEIVED  
Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
County of Santa Cruz

6  
7 THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
8 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
Plaintiff,

CASE NO. F 06858

**Vs.**

**MOTION TO OBTAIN COPIES  
OF GOVERNMENT EXHIBITS**

Clive Frank Boustred,  
**Defendant**

DATE: \_\_\_\_\_ TIME: \_\_\_\_\_  
DEPT: \_\_\_\_\_

9 With good cause **Defendant in Propria Persona, Sui Juris**, brings this motion to  
10 **obtain copies of the "People's" Exhibits for purposes of Appeal & Complaint.**

11 I declare under the penalty of **perjury** under **the laws** of **the State** of California that  
12 the foregoing is **true and correct** and that this declaration was executed on July 4, 2007,  
13 in Santa Cruz County.

14 **SEAL:**

15 \_\_\_\_\_  
16 **Clive Boustred – AT LAW**  
17 **in Propria Persona, Sui Juris**

18  
19 **ORDER OF THE COURT**

20 Good cause having been shown, ~~the~~ Clerk of the Court is ordered to allow Defendant  
21 to make copies by photographing the People's exhibits.

22 Date: \_\_\_\_\_

23 JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

1 Clive Boustred  
2 In Propria Persona, Sui Juris  
3 210 Suncrest Dr.  
4 Soquel, CA 95073  
5 (831) 476-4300  
6

7 THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
8 IN AND ~~FOR~~ THE COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Plaintiff,

Vs.

Clive Frank Boustred,  
Defendant,

CASE NO. F 06858

ORDERS TO SHOW CAUSE;  
MOTIONS TO DISMISS CASE.

DATE: \_\_\_\_\_ TIME: \_\_\_\_\_  
DEPT: \_\_\_\_\_

9 With good cause Defendant in Propria ~~Persona~~, Sui Juris, issues this Order To Show  
10 Cause and Motions to Dismiss Case before the Court.

11 On or about March 10, 2003, State of California Department of California Highway  
12 Patrol PURSUIT REPORT NUMBER 03-2349 (Exhibit A), State witness lied on  
13 government forms claiming in #22 that ~~the~~ ORIGINAL VIOLATION OBSERVED BY  
14 AGENCY INITIATING THE PURSUIT was a Felony listed as Section & Code 278.5  
15 P.C. (Child Custody Depravation). Defendant never committed any Felony giving any  
16 legal reason to Pursue Defendant. Defendant never committed any crime.

17 On March 10, 2003, the date of ~~the~~ alleged Pursuit, Defendant was following ~~the~~  
18 law and acting under the instruction of the Clerk of the Court who had instructed  
19 Defendant to return to ~~the~~ Clerks office at 4:00 pm as ~~the~~ TRO & Complaint Defendant  
20 had filed was not ready at 2:00 pm. The TRO sought ~~the~~ courts protection from his wife  
21 who had resumed making false police calls and who that very moment made the  
22 outrageous and false claim that Defendant was a Former Elite Forces Militant who was  
23 heavily armed, had assaulted her boyfriend, kidnapped her children and was likely to  
24 harm her and his children (See P6 McConnell Crime Report). At the time the Deputy



1 initiated the alleged Pursuit Defendant **was** returning home from the Santa Cruz Superior  
2 Court and driving safely and slowly on his PRIVATE road – no one else was on the road  
3 (Defendant slow speed is proven from the NETCOM report at 27mph and slower).

4 **Firstly:** Defendant issues **this** Order to Show Cause as to (A) the iegai reason/cause  
5 for initiating said Pursuit, and (B) initiating the Pursuit on a private road.

6 **Secondly:** Defendant issues this Order to Show Case as to proof Defendant drove  
7 unsafely during the alleged Pursuit (proof to the contrary in NETCOM Evidence).

8 **Thirdly:** Defendant Motions to Dismiss charges in Count 02 as there was no legal  
9 reason for officers to Pursue Defendant (OSCA & B).

10 **Fourthly:** Defendant Motions to Dismiss charges in Count 02 and 04 as Defendant  
11 drove slowly and carefully along his private road during the alleged Pursuit. Defendant  
12 had a right and duty to first put his children safely in his home before speaking to the  
13 police (**See**NETCOM and McConnell Crime Report P5 “we would not get into a fighting  
14 match with him in front of his children”.)

15 **Fifthly:** A jury found Defendant not guilty of Count 01. Defendant committed no  
16 crime and despite not having resisted arrest, said arrest was uncalled for and Count 03  
17 therefore has no Probable Cause. Defendant Motions to have the case dismissed.

18 I declare under the penalty of perjury under the *laws* of the State of California that  
19 the foregoing is true and correct **and** that this declaration was executed on July 4, 2007,  
20 in Santa Cruz County.

21 SEAL:

22 \_\_\_\_\_  
23 **Clive Boustred**  
**In Propria Persona, Sui Juris**

# Exhibit A – A Felony Given As Reason for Pursuit:

STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
 DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL  
**PURSUIT REPORT**  
 CHP 187A (New 1-03) DPI 051

NUMBER (Give Agency Use Only)  
03-0349

**ALL CALIFORNIA LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES:**  
 Vehicle Code Section 14602.1 requires that "every state and local law enforcement agency, including, but not limited to, city police departments and county sheriff's offices, shall report to the Department of California Highway Patrol, on an approved form, all vehicle pursuit data." This form has been developed to record this information.

**Vehicle Pursuit Defined:** An event involving one or more law enforcement officers attempting to apprehend a suspect operating a motor vehicle while the suspect is attempting to avoid arrest by using high speed driving or other evasive tactics, such as driving off a highway, turning suddenly, or driving in a legal manner but willfully failing to yield to the officer's signal to stop.

**SECTION I - ALL AGENCIES COMPLETE**

1. AGENCY NO.:	2. AGENCY NAME: <u>SANTA CRUZ COUNTY SHERIFFS OFFICE</u>	3. DATE OF PURSUIT: <u>03/11/03</u>	4. TIME OF PURSUIT: <u>1429 HRS</u>
5. PURSUED VEHICLE LICENSE NUMBER: <u>4N1M549</u>	6. STATE: <u>CA</u>	7. ROAD ROUTE OR ROAD DISTANCE IN:	
8. TOTAL PURSUIT DISTANCE (MILES): <u>1.5 MILES</u>	9. COUNTY WHERE PURSUIT STARTED: <u>SANTA CRUZ COUNTY</u>		
11. # OF OFFICERS INVOLVED (Do Not List Names): <u>0928</u>			
12. DID YOUR AGENCY INITIATE THE PURSUIT? <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES		13. DID YOUR AGENCY TERMINATE PURSUIT OVER? IF YES, INDICATE AGENCY: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/> YES	
14. GIVE REASON FOR PURSUIT (If YES, check appropriate box): <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES (SEE VEHICLE SEARCH PAGE)			

**SECTION II - COMPLETE ONLY IF YOU TERMINATED THE PURSUIT**

15. AGE OF PERSON PURSUED: <u>42</u>	16. GENDER OF PERSON PURSUED: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MALE <input type="checkbox"/> FEMALE	17. DRIVING UNDER THE INFLUENCE: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/> YES	
18. RACE OF PERSON PURSUED: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> White <input type="checkbox"/> Japanese <input type="checkbox"/> Indian <input type="checkbox"/> Hawaiian <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> Black <input type="checkbox"/> Chinese <input type="checkbox"/> Other Asian <input type="checkbox"/> Mexican <input type="checkbox"/> Other Hispanic <input type="checkbox"/> Hispanic <input type="checkbox"/> Cambodian <input type="checkbox"/> Filipino <input type="checkbox"/> Hawaiian Native <input type="checkbox"/> Vietnamese <input type="checkbox"/> Korean <input type="checkbox"/> Samoan <input type="checkbox"/> Other			
19. WERE THERE ANY INJURIES INCURRED AS A RESULT OF A COLLISION? If yes, indicate the number of each type of injury:		20. WERE ANY VEHICLES DAMAGED AS A RESULT OF A COLLISION? If yes, indicate the number of each type of injury:	
Police Officer(s)	Suspect(s)	Other(s)	
Fatal Injury	_____	_____	Fatal Injury
Severe Injury	_____	_____	Severe Injury
Other Visible Injury	_____	_____	Other Visible Injury
Complaint of Pain	_____	_____	Complaint of Pain

21. IF THE SUSPECT  WAS  WAS NOT APPREHENDED, WHICH ONE OF THE FOLLOWING BEST DESCRIBES THE REASON FOR THE SUSPECT'S ESCAPE?  
 Pursued driver voluntarily stopped  
 Possible stop  
 Pursued vehicle became disabled  
 Pursuing vehicle became disabled  
 Pursuit stopped by law enforcement agency  
 Pursued vehicle and pursuing vehicle disabled  
 Pursued vehicle involved in collision  
 Pursuing vehicle became involved in collision  
 Other: \_\_\_\_\_

22. WILFULLY OBSERVED BY AGENCY BESETTING THE PURSUIT (LEAVE THIS SECTION BLANK IF YOU AGREE TO SET BACK PURSUING OFFICER):  
 Person & Code: SIB-SPC  Felony  Misdemeanor  Traffic  Other: \_\_\_\_\_

23. WILFULLY OBSERVED BY AGENCY BESETTING THE PURSUIT (LEAVE THIS SECTION BLANK IF YOU AGREE TO SET BACK PURSUING OFFICER):  
 Person & Code: \_\_\_\_\_  Felony  Misdemeanor  Traffic  Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: 03/11/03

Destroy Previous Editions Of This Form

# Exhibit B – TRO Defendant Filed on March 10, 2003 – Proving Defendant was following the law

FL-410  
FOR COURT USE ONLY

CLIVE BOUTSEON  
370 S. WILSON ST  
SANTA ANA, CA 92703  
(714) 976-6200

**FILED**  
MAR 10 2003  
SARAH J. CLEGG  
DEPT. OF CLERK

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SANTA ANA**  
 STREET ADDRESS: 701 GILFILLAN ST  
 MAILING ADDRESS: SANTA ANA  
 COURT PHONE: (714) 976-6200 FAX: (714) 976-6200

PETITIONER/PLAINTIFF: CLIVE BOUTSEON  
 RESPONDENT/DEFENDANT: ADAMARIA BOUTSEON  
 OTHER PARTY:

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AND AFFIDAVIT FOR CONTEMPT**

CASE NO. FILED: FL 16 028

**NOTICE!**  
 A contempt proceeding is criminal in nature. If the court finds you in contempt, the possible penalties include jail sentence, community service, and fine.  
 You are entitled to the services of an attorney who should be consulted promptly in order to assist you. If you cannot afford an attorney, the court may appoint an attorney to represent you.

**¡AVISO!**  
 Un proceso judicial por desacato es de índole criminal. Si la corte le declara a usted en desacato, las sanciones posibles incluyen penas de prisión y de servicio a la comunidad, y multas.  
 Usted tiene derecho a los servicios de un abogado. Si no puede pagar un abogado, la corte podrá nombrar a un abogado para usted representante.

1. TO CITEE (name of person you allege has violated the orders): ADAMARIA BOUTSEON

2. YOU ARE ORDERED TO APPEAR IN THIS COURT AS FOLLOWS, TO GIVE ANY LEGAL REASON WHY THIS COURT SHOULD NOT FIND YOU GUILTY OF CONTEMPT. PUNISH YOU FOR WILLFULLY DISOBEYING ITS ORDERS AS SET FORTH IN THE AFFIDAVIT BELOW AND ANY ATTACHED AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS CONSTITUTING CONTEMPT. YOU REQUIRE YOU TO PAY, FOR THE BENEFIT OF THE MOVING PARTY, THE ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS OF THIS PROCEEDING.

Date: 4/8/03 Time: 8:30 AM Dept: 7 Rm: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Address of Court: same as noted above other (specify): \_\_\_\_\_

Date: MAR 10 2003

**AFFIDAVIT SUPPORTING ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CONTEMPT**

1. [X] An Affidavit of Facts Constituting Contempt (form FL-411 or FL-412) is attached.

2. Citee has willfully disobeyed certain orders of this court as set forth in this affidavit and any attached affidavits.

3. a. Citee had knowledge of the order in that:  
 (1)  citee was present in court at the time the order was made  
 (2)  citee was served with a copy of the order  
 (3)  citee signed a stipulation upon which the order was based  
 (4) \_\_\_\_\_ other (specify): \_\_\_\_\_

Continued on Attachment 5a(4)

b. Citee was able to comply with each order when it was disobeyed.

4. Based on the instances of disobedience described in this affidavit:  
 a.  I have not previously filed a request with the court that the citee be held in contempt.  
 b.  I have previously filed a request with the court that the citee be held in contempt (specify date filed): \_\_\_\_\_

Continued on Attachment 5b

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AND AFFIDAVIT FOR CONTEMPT**  
 Legal Services  
 a Plus

